

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F048762 In re Dennis A. et al., Minors

The portion of the 12-month-review order finding that reasonable reunification services were provided is reversed and the finding vacated. The order is affirmed in all other respects. The case is remanded to the juvenile court with directions to enter a new order finding that reasonable services were not provided and to order a new reunification plan designed to accommodate the mother's specific needs and limitations and requiring the agency to do all that is reasonably possible to help her regain custody of her children. The juvenile court may, in its discretion, request briefing from the parties and a new report from the agency, conduct an evidentiary hearing, or receive any other appropriate input regarding what suitable services are available. Wiseman, Acting P.J.

We concur: Gomes, J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F047383 Jose Amaya et al. v. King Pak Farms, Inc., et al.; Thomas Pavich

The order disqualifying Stephen P. Wainer and the law firm of Thomas Anton & Associates, Inc., as counsel for plaintiffs is reversed and the trial court is instructed to enter a new order denying the motion to disqualify in its entirety. Costs on appeal are awarded to plaintiffs. Dawson, J.

We concur: Wiseman, Acting P.J.; Gomes, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F048343 In re Semaj D., a Minor

The adjudication in count 5 that appellant actively participated in a gang (§ 186.22, subd. (a)) is reversed and we remand for a new disposition hearing. The juvenile court's other findings are affirmed. Wiseman, J.

We concur: Harris, Acting P.J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F048213 People v. Cervantes

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F048213 People v. Cervantes

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F050010 In re Finding of Roderick Washington as a Vexatious Litigant

The court finds that Roderick Washington is a vexatious litigant within the meaning of section 391. Henceforth, pursuant to section 391.7, Roderick Washington may not file “any new litigation in the courts of this state in propria persona without first obtaining leave of the presiding judge of the court where the litigation is proposed to be filed.” [Cite Omitted]. Disobedience of this order may be punished as a contempt of court. Further, the presiding judge shall permit the filing of such litigation only if it appears that the litigation has merit and has not been filed for the purposes of harassment or delay. [Cite Omitted].

The clerk of this court is directed to provide a copy of this opinion and order to the Judicial Council. [Cite Omitted]. Copies shall also be mailed to the presiding judges and clerks of the Kings County Superior Court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049914 Jennifer B. v. The Superior Court of Madera County; Madera County Department of Public Welfare

The petition for extraordinary writ is denied. This opinion is final forthwith as to this court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049994 Marta N. v. The Superior Court of Merced County; Merced County Human Services Agency

The petition for extraordinary writ is denied. This opinion is final forthwith as to this court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049476 In re Mark A. et al., Minors

No brief or request for extension of time having been filed within the time provided, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F049778 People v. Sullivan

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F049661 People v. Brookins

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

Pursuant to rule 30.3, California Rules of Court, it is further ordered that the remittitur issue forthwith.